# ST. PHILIP'S COLLEGE FOURTH ANNUAL 

## GOOD 2 GREAT RETREAT MAY 18 \& 19,2011

## WELCOME ATTENDEES

## AGENDA - DAY I

- Welcome and Introduction
- Accomplishments
- State of College
- Excellence Journey
- Context Map Activity
- Create Core Process Grid
- OFI Activity: Leadership * Strategic Planning * Customer Focus
- SWOT Activity
- Review and refine Objectives, Goals, Strategies and Actions
- Parking Lot Review Plus/Delta
- Next Steps
- Dinner/ Facilities Status and Vision


## AGENDA - DAY 2

- Review Progress
- Develop Organizational Scorecard
- Identify Barriers/Blockers
, Customer Complaint Management Process
- Establish Cycles of Review and Data Analysis
- Refine Annual Planning Cycle
- Develop Strategic Communication Plan
- Parking Lot Review
- Next Steps
- Summary of Accomplishments


## ICE BREAKER



The Nation's Only Historically Black Gollege \& Hispanic Serving Institution

## WHY



## GOAL I:ACCESSTO HIGHER EDUCATION

|  | Al\| | $\begin{aligned} & 78203 \\ & \text { (MLK ) } \end{aligned}$ | 782 II (SWC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrollment | 10,828 | 112 | 162 |
| Gender |  |  |  |
| Female | 56\% | 65\% | 42\% |
| Male | 44\% | 35\% | 58\% |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 48\% | 39\% | 68\% |
| White | 42\% | 11\% | II\% |
| Black | 14\% | 27\% | 0\% |
| Other | 5\% | 3\% | 5\% |
| Status |  |  |  |
| Part-time | 66\% | Currently <br> Not <br> Available | Currently Not Available |
| Full-time | 34\% | Currently <br> Not <br> Available | Currently Not Available |
| FTIC* | 27\% | 23\% | 27\% |

\author{

* Includes Dual Credit Students
}
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FTIC Student Referral, Enrollment, and Success in Developmental Education Fall 2006 - Fall 2009

|  |  | MATH (4 Levels) |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ENGLISH (2 } \\ \text { levels) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | READING (3 levels) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall 2006 | Total FTIC $=1,422$ |  |  | Total Referral =94\% |  |  |
|  | Referred: | 1,329 | 94\% | 751 | 53\% | 805 | 57\% |
|  | Enrolled: | 749 | 56\% | 274 | 36\% | 429 | 53\% |
|  | Success: | 360 | 48\% | 107 | 39\% | 291 | 68\% |
|  | Fall 2007 | Total FTIC $=1,259$ |  |  | Total Referral =90\% |  |  |
|  | Referred: | I, 122 | 89\% | 719 | 57\% | 718 | 57\% |
|  | Enrolled: | 658 | 59\% | 314 | 44\% | 368 | 51\% |
|  | Success: | 283 | 43\% | 124 | 40\% | 227 | 62\% |
|  | Fall 2008 | Total FTIC $=1,337$ |  |  | Total Referral = 95\% |  |  |
|  | Referred: | 1,256 | 94\% | 774 | 58\% | 764 | 57\% |
|  | Enrolled: | 623 | 50\% | 322 | 42\% | 383 | 50\% |
|  | Success: | 249 | 40\% | 115 | 36\% | 224 | 58\% |
|  | Fall 2009 | Total FTIC $=1,419$ |  |  | Total Referral $=92 \%$ |  |  |
| From Good | Referred: | 1,216 | 86\% | 855 | 60\% | 698 | 49\% |
|  | Enrolled: | 583 | 48\% | 344 | 40\% | 403 | 58\% |
|  | Success: | 297 | 51\% | 149 | 43\% | 226 | 56\% |

Percentage of FTIC Developmental Students Attempting College Level Courses Within Three Years

Fall 2006


Data shows a small percentage of students who are referred to developmental education enroll in College Level courses within three years of their initial placement.
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FTIC Developmental Student Success in College Level Courses Within Three Years Fall 2006
a Dev. Math 日Dev. Read ■Dev. Engl


For Math, the data shows developmental students who progress to
College Level math are slightly more successful than students who are initially placed into College Level Math.This trend is not the same for developmental Reading and English students.
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## Full-time, FTIC Graduation Rates

3-yr, 4-yr, and 6-yr 2006-2009


Results show an up and down trend for 3-yr, 4-yr and 6-yr graduations rates for FT FTIC students from 2006 to 2009.

## Part-time, FTIC Graduation Rates <br> 3-yr, 4-yr, and 6-yr <br> 2006-2009



Results show an increase in 4-yr and 6-yr graduation rates among PT FTIC students from 2006 to 2009

## STUDENT SUCCESS POLICY

## PRINCIPLES:

- Comprehensive strategic planning sets success goals and measures for improving student outcomes
- Organizational structures, policies and practices support student success values and priorities;
- Student success goals describe expectations and progress toward these goals are monitored on a regular, on-going basis
- Planning and budgeting processes are aligned and reflect student success goals and priorities and adhere to the dashboard measures


## STUDENT SUCCESS POLICY

## PRINCIPLES CONTINUED:

- Broad engagement of multiple stakeholders, including Education, business and community partnerships, are designed to improve transitions from high school to college and the workplace and fosters a common understanding and deeper commitment to student success improvement goals
- Instructional practices incorporate sound principles of teaching/learning theory to enhance learning outcomes
- The Alamo Colleges are a learning organization, and all college employees are expected to grow in their professional roles in support of the student success agenda


## STUDENT SUCCESS POLICY

The Alamo Colleges' performance evaluation process will ensure that all college employees have a clear understanding of their role in helping students succeed and be held accountable for improvements.

## STUDENT SUCCESS POLICY

## MEASURES:

Diversity goals address gaps in student outcomes across populations particularly among low-income students, students of color, and their peers

Improvement rates are measured constantly and drive strategy development for improvements in:

- Course completion
- Productive grade rates
o Retention
- Persistence
- Transfer
- Employment
o Licensure
o Graduation


## STUDENT SUCCESS POLICY

Overall success rates in developmental math, reading and writing, transition to college courses and overall success rates of both developmental and nondevelopmental courses are continuously monitored.

## VISION:TO BETHE BEST IN THE NATION



## INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS

## CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

## QUALITY MEASURES

 TAPE BALDRIGEB. ACCREDITATION 5 -YEAR PLAN

## INSTITUTIOANL EFFECTIVENESS

## STUDENT SUCCESS MODEL

PROGRESS REPORTS<br>- ACHIEVING THE DREAM<br>-COMPLETION BY DESIGN<br>-ADVISING PLAN

## VALUES

## INTEGRITY

We have the courage to act ethically, building a culture of trust and respect

## COMMUNICATION

We engage in open and transparent communication, information sharing, and collaboration
COMMUNITY
We commit to a joyful culture of learning and service where unity in diversity occurs through mutual respect, cooperation, and accessibility

## ACADEMIC FREEDOM

We value creativity, growth, and transformation through vigorous inquiry and a free exchange of ideas for all

## ACCOUNTABILITY

We take responsibility for our actions and strive for continuous learning and improvement

## HOW DO WE SERVE OUR DIVERSE COMMUNITIES?

. DIVERSITY
. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
. STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

- EFFICIENCES
. NEW PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT


## ACCOMPLISHMENTS ACTIVITY

- BREAK INTO SMALL GROUPS
- DISCUSS SPC ACCOMPLISHMENTS DURING LAST 5 YEARS
- COME TO A GROUP CONSENSUS OF TOP 5 ACCOMPLISHMENT
- REPORT OUTTO ENTIRE GROUP


## ACCOMPLISHMENTS

## How great are we?

## WELCOME LAURA LONGMIRE

- Laura Longmire is the founder and senior partner of Strategic Quality Initiatives.
- Laura received her BS from Missouri Southern University and her MBA from the University of Dallas.
- Laura served for six years on the Board of Examiners for the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award and is a member of the Board of Examiners Alumni group.
- Laura also served six years on the panel of judges for the Texas Award for Performance Excellence with one year as the chair for the panel of judges. She is currently serving on the Board of Examiners for the Texas Award for Performance Excellence. You can learn more about Laura at her website at: www.lauralongmire.com


## RULES OF ENGAGMENT

"Everyone Participate/ No One Dominate "Build on Each Others Ideas
-Humor Helps
-Criticize Behavior /Not Person

## OUTCOMES

-To Acknowledge Accomplishments

- To Prioritize OFls from TAPE Feedback Report
-To Refine SWOT and Operational Plans
-To Refine Annual Planning Cycle
-To Develop and Implement Organizational and Departmental Scorecards


## CREATING A CONTEXT MAP

- Context Map: a graphic guide of current climate and trends
- Elements of the Map include: outside trends, political climate, economic climate, internal trends, technology, customer needs, and uncertainties
- Team activity: 7 tables (self-select)
- Each table identifies 4-8 factors of their "element"
- Team posts factors, present, and discuss


## IDENTIFYING KEY PROCESSES

- Review Current List of Key Processes Tables I, 3, and 5 identify what processes support Objective land 2
Tables 2, 4 and 6 identify what processes support Objective 3
- Tables 7, 9 and II identify what processes support Objective 4
- Tables 8, 10 and 12 identify what processes support Objective 5
- Add new processes if they are needed to support your objective


## BREAK



## GOOD 2 GREAT LEADERSHIP TRIAD

- Table teams review Category questions

Agree on two to three priorities for improvement

- Recommend I-4 methods or strategies to improve each prioritized focus area
- Report out team recommendations


## LUNCH



## SWOT ANALYSIS

- Using the "Accomplishments", Context Map, Core Process Grid, Category I-3 priorities, we will develop a SWOC analysis
- SWOC is a tool that identifies St. Philip's strengths, weaknesses, challenges, and opportunities
- The SWOC is used to refine the strategic objectives, goals, strategies and actions

STRENGTHS

| + Strength | + Strength |
| :--- | :--- |
| + Strength | + Strength |
| + Strength | + Strength |
| + Strength | + Strength |

+ Strength＋Strength


## O OPPORTUNITIES


＇OPPORTUNITY＇．＇OPPORTUNITY ．OPPORTUNITY，
$\rightarrow$ Description $=-$ Description $=-$ Description
－Description－－•Description－＝Description
－Description－二•Description
－Description

## WEAKNESSES

－Problem
－Problem
－Problem
－Problem
－Problem
－Problem
－Problem
－Problem

## CHALLENGES

4 Challenge
43 Challenge
3 Challenge
Challenge
4 Challenge
4 Challenge

## BREAK



## REVIEW AND REFINE GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND ACTIONS

- Distribute table of goals, objectives, and actions
- Establish team roles at each table: timekeeper, scribe, spokesperson
- Review the goals(non-negotiable), objectives, and actions
- Agree on alignment or refinement of the objectives and actions
- Report on agreement areas and identify areas for refinement


## QUALITY FACILITATION

- Review Parking Lot
-Conduct "plus/delta" for Day I
- Describe Day 2 Agenda


## BIG TIE AUCTION



## FACILITIES STATUS AND VISION

- PARSONS
- MARMON MOK
- WESTEAST DESIGN
- PFLUGER ASSOCIATES
- KELL MUNOZ

