

706 Hillsborough Street | Raleigh, NC 27603

# Northeast Lakeview College San Antonio, Texas

# **PACE Custom Report**

**PACE Climate Survey for Community Colleges** 

**Lead Researchers** 

Emily R. VanZoest &

Daniel R. West

**Conducted** 

October & November 2021



#### **Research Team**

Audrey J. Jaeger, Ph.D.

Executive Director

**Daniel R. West**Research Associate

Kaitlin S. Newhouse, Ph.D. Senior Research Associate

Emily R. VanZoest Research Associate **Greyson A. B. Norcross** 

Research Associate

#### **Additional Report Editors**

**Kara Reddish** 

Research Assistant

**Phone** 

(919)515-8567

Fax

(919)515-6305

Web

pace.ncsu.edu

**Email** 

pace\_survey@ncsu.edu

**North Carolina State University** 

Belk Center for Community College Leadership and Research 706 Hillsborough Street Raleigh, NC 27603

Suggested Citation: Belk Center for Community College Leadership and Research, North Carolina State University. PACE Climate Survey for Community Colleges Custom Report, by VanZoest, E. R. & West, D. R. Raleigh, NC: 2021

| Table 1. Custom Items Frequency Distributions  Table 2. Custom Item Mean Comparisons  Table 3. Custom Demographic Frequency Distributions  Table 4. Institutional Structure Mean Comparisons by Personnel Classification  Table 5. Student Focus Item Mean Comparisons by Personnel Classification  Table 6. Supervisory Relationships Item Mean Comparisons by Personnel  Table 7. Teamwork Item Mean Comparisons by Personnel Classification | Page   |    |
|--|--|----|
| Table 1.   | Custom Items Frequency Distributions                                 | 1  |
| Table 2.   | Custom Item Mean Comparisons   | 4  |
| Table 3.   | Custom Demographic Frequency Distributions                           | 6  |
| Table 4.   | Institutional Structure Mean Comparisons by Personnel Classification | 7  |
| Table 5.   | Student Focus Item Mean Comparisons by Personnel Classification      | 8  |
| Table 6.   | Supervisory Relationships Item Mean Comparisons by Personnel         | 9  |
| Table 7.   | Teamwork Item Mean Comparisons by Personnel Classification           | 10 |
| Table 8.   | Overall Item Mean Comparisons by Personnel Classification            | 11 |

**Table 1. Custom Items Frequency Distributions** 

|  |                   | NLC   |      | 20    | 020  |
|--|-------------------|-------|------|-------|------|
| <b>Custom Items</b>                              | Response Option   | Count | %    | Count | %    |
| The extent to which                              |                   |       |      |       |      |
| 1 I understand the priorities of the institution | Very dissatisfied | 2     | 2%   | 8     | 4%   |
|  | Dissatisfied      | 3     | 2%   | 6     | 3%   |
|  | Neither           | 13    | 10%  | 17    | 9%   |
|  | Satisfied         | 53    | 43%  | 91    | 50%  |
|  | Very satisfied    | 53    | 43%  | 60    | 33%  |
|  | Total             | 124   | 100% | 182   | 100% |
| 2 innovation is encouraged at the institution    | Very dissatisfied | 3     | 2%   | 6     | 3%   |
|  | Dissatisfied      | 12    | 10%  | 12    | 7%   |
|  | Neither           | 17    | 14%  | 22    | 12%  |
|  | Satisfied         | 49    | 40%  | 82    | 46%  |
|  | Very satisfied    | 43    | 35%  | 56    | 31%  |
|  | Total             | 124   | 100% | 178   | 100% |
| 3 I participate in decisions at the institution  | Very dissatisfied | 8     | 7%   | 7     | 4%   |
|  | Dissatisfied      | 12    | 10%  | 16    | 9%   |
|  | Neither           | 32    | 27%  | 44    | 26%  |
|  | Satisfied         | 37    | 31%  | 68    | 40%  |
|  | Very satisfied    | 30    | 25%  | 34    | 20%  |
|  | Total             | 119   | 100% | 169   | 100% |
| 4 differences of opinion are encouraged at the   | Very dissatisfied | 10    | 8%   | 12    | 7%   |
| institution                                      | Dissatisfied      | 14    | 11%  | 22    | 12%  |
|  | Neither           | 35    | 28%  | 43    | 24%  |
|  | Satisfied         | 39    | 31%  | 66    | 37%  |
|  | Very satisfied    | 26    | 21%  | 34    | 19%  |
|  | Total             | 124   | 100% | 177   | 100% |

|  |                     | NLC   |      | 2020  |      |  |
|--|---------------------|-------|------|-------|------|--|
| <b>Custom Items (continued)</b>                        | Response Option     | Count | %    | Count | %    |  |
| The extent to which                                    |                     |       |      |       |      |  |
| 5 I am satisfied with my overall employment            | Very dissatisfied   | 3     | 2%   | 5     | 3%   |  |
| experience at this institution                         | Dissatisfied        | 9     | 7%   | 13    | 7%   |  |
|  | Neither             | 18    | 14%  | 18    | 10%  |  |
|  | Satisfied           | 50    | 40%  | 78    | 43%  |  |
|  | Very satisfied      | 45    | 36%  | 67    | 37%  |  |
|  | Total               | 125   | 100% | 181   | 100% |  |
| 6 the institution's leadership/supervisory structure i | s Very dissatisfied | 12    | 10%  | 14    | 8%   |  |
| representing my interests                              | Dissatisfied        | 7     | 6%   | 13    | 7%   |  |
|  | Neither             | 28    | 23%  | 32    | 18%  |  |
|  | Satisfied           | 43    | 35%  | 70    | 40%  |  |
|  | Very satisfied      | 34    | 27%  | 47    | 27%  |  |
|  | Total               | 124   | 100% | 176   | 100% |  |
| 7 policies affecting faculty and staff are consistent  | Very dissatisfied   | 12    | 10%  | 10    | 6%   |  |
| across campus  | Dissatisfied        | 19    | 16%  | 22    | 13%  |  |
|  | Neither             | 19    | 16%  | 48    | 28%  |  |
|  | Satisfied           | 37    | 31%  | 63    | 37%  |  |
|  | Very satisfied      | 33    | 28%  | 29    | 17%  |  |
|  | Total               | 120   | 100% | 172   | 100% |  |
| 8 the institution's technology tools allow me to mee   | t Very dissatisfied | 3     | 2%   | 2     | 1%   |  |
| my job responsibilities efficiently                    | Dissatisfied        | 11    | 9%   | 15    | 8%   |  |
|  | Neither             | 17    | 14%  | 23    | 13%  |  |
|  | Satisfied           | 46    | 37%  | 85    | 48%  |  |
|  | Very satisfied      | 47    | 38%  | 53    | 30%  |  |
|  | Total               | 124   | 100% | 178   | 100% |  |

|   |                   | NLC   |      | 2020  |      |  |
|---|-------------------|-------|------|-------|------|--|
| <b>Custom Items (continued)</b>                       | Response Option   | Count | %    | Count | %    |  |
| The extent to which                                   |                   |       |      |       |      |  |
| 9 workload demands for my time are included in the    | Very dissatisfied | 11    | 9%   | 15    | 9%   |  |
| institution level planning process                    | Dissatisfied      | 20    | 16%  | 24    | 14%  |  |
|   | Neither           | 31    | 25%  | 45    | 26%  |  |
|   | Satisfied         | 36    | 29%  | 62    | 36%  |  |
|   | Very satisfied    | 25    | 20%  | 27    | 16%  |  |
|   | Total             | 123   | 100% | 173   | 100% |  |
| 10 the institution provides a safe and secure working | Very dissatisfied | 2     | 2%   | 2     | 1%   |  |
| environment   | Dissatisfied      | 5     | 4%   | 2     | 1%   |  |
|   | Neither           | 15    | 12%  | 13    | 7%   |  |
|   | Satisfied         | 47    | 37%  | 91    | 51%  |  |
|   | Very satisfied    | 57    | 45%  | 70    | 39%  |  |
|   | Total             | 126   | 100% | 178   | 100% |  |
| 11 I would recommend working at the Alamo             | Very dissatisfied | 1     | 1%   | 7     | 4%   |  |
| Colleges  | Dissatisfied      | 7     | 6%   | 4     | 2%   |  |
|   | Neither           | 21    | 17%  | 19    | 10%  |  |
|   | Satisfied         | 43    | 34%  | 69    | 38%  |  |
|   | Very satisfied    | 54    | 43%  | 82    | 45%  |  |
|   | Total             | 126   | 100% | 181   | 100% |  |

**Table 2. Custom Item Mean Comparisons** 

|     |   | N   | LC    |       | 2020 |                |
|-----|---|-----|-------|-------|------|----------------|
|     | <b>Custom Items</b>   | N   | Mean  | Mean  | Sig. | Effect<br>size |
| The | extent to which   |     |       |       |      |                |
| 1   | I understand the priorities of the institution  | 124 | 4.226 | 4.038 |      |                |
| 2   | innovation is encouraged at the institution   | 124 | 3.944 | 3.955 |      |                |
| 3   | I participate in decisions at the institution   | 119 | 3.580 | 3.627 |      |                |
| 4   | differences of opinion are encouraged at the institution                                | 124 | 3.460 | 3.497 |      |                |
| 5   | I am satisfied with my overall employment experience at this institution                | 125 | 4.000 | 4.044 |      |                |
| 6   | the institution's leadership/supervisory structure is representing my interests         | 124 | 3.645 | 3.699 |      |                |
| 7   | policies affecting faculty and staff are consistent across campus                       | 120 | 3.500 | 3.459 |      |                |
| 8   | the institution's technology tools allow me to meet my job responsibilities efficiently | 124 | 3.992 | 3.966 |      |                |
| 9   | workload demands for my time are included in the institution level planning process     | 123 | 3.358 | 3.358 |      |                |
| 10  | the institution provides a safe and secure working environment                          | 126 | 4.206 | 4.264 |      |                |

|  | NLC |       | 2020  |      |                |
|--|-----|-------|-------|------|----------------|
| <b>Custom Items (Continued)</b>                    | N   | Mean  | Mean  | Sig. | Effect<br>size |
| The extent to which                                |     |       |       |      |                |
| 11 I would recommend working at the Alamo Colleges | 126 | 4.127 | 4.188 |      |                |

**Table 3. Custom Demographic Frequency Distributions** 

|                            |                    |        | NLC |      | 2     | 020  |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--------|-----|------|-------|------|
| Demographic Items          | Response Option    | Co     | unt | %    | Count | %    |
| 1 Personnel Classification | Administrator      | (      | 5   | 5%   | 7     | 4%   |
|                            | Full time Staff    | 5      | 2   | 41%  | 75    | 41%  |
|                            | Full time Faculty  | 4      | 1   | 33%  | 52    | 29%  |
|                            | Part time Staff    | 1      | 0   | 8%   | 13    | 7%   |
|                            | Adjunct/CE Faculty | 1      | 6   | 13%  | 24    | 13%  |
|                            | Work Study         |        | 1   | 1%   | 10    | 6%   |
|                            | To                 | tal 12 | 26  | 100% | 181   | 100% |

**Table 4. Institutional Structure Mean Comparisons by Personnel Classification** 

|                          | NLC |       |       |      |                |
|--------------------------|-----|-------|-------|------|----------------|
| Personnel Classification | N   | Mean  | Mean  | Sig. | Effect<br>size |
| Overall                  | 138 | 3.773 | 3.789 |      |                |
| Administrator            | 6   |       | 4.067 |      |                |
| Full time Staff          | 51  | 3.862 | 3.705 |      |                |
| Full time Faculty        | 41  | 3.485 | 3.702 |      |                |
| Part time Staff          | 10  | 3.767 | 3.920 |      |                |
| Adjunct/CE Faculty       | 16  | 4.252 | 4.151 |      |                |
| Work Study               | 1   |       | 4.094 |      |                |

<sup>\*</sup> p <.05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

<sup>--</sup> indicates results redacted for confidentiality

**Table 5. Student Focus Item Mean Comparisons by Personnel Classification** 

|                          | NLC |       | NLC 20 |      |                |
|--------------------------|-----|-------|--------|------|----------------|
| Personnel Classification | N   | Mean  | Mean   | Sig. | Effect<br>size |
| Overall                  | 138 | 4.194 | 4.196  |      |                |
| Administrator            | 6   |       | 4.274  |      |                |
| Full time Staff          | 51  | 4.240 | 4.134  |      |                |
| Full time Faculty        | 41  | 4.150 | 4.238  |      |                |
| Part time Staff          | 10  | 4.170 | 4.360  |      |                |
| Adjunct/CE Faculty       | 16  | 4.246 | 4.399  |      |                |
| Work Study               | 1   |       | 4.239  |      |                |

<sup>\*</sup> p <.05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

<sup>--</sup> indicates results redacted for confidentiality

Table 6. Supervisory Relationships Item Mean Comparisons by Personnel Classific

|                          | NLC |       |       | 2020 |                |
|--------------------------|-----|-------|-------|------|----------------|
| Personnel Classification | N   | Mean  | Mean  | Sig. | Effect<br>size |
| Overall                  | 138 | 4.129 | 4.055 |      |                |
| Administrator            | 6   |       | 4.284 |      |                |
| Full time Staff          | 51  | 4.114 | 4.030 |      |                |
| Full time Faculty        | 41  | 4.151 | 4.099 |      |                |
| Part time Staff          | 10  | 4.067 | 3.957 |      |                |
| Adjunct/CE Faculty       | 16  | 4.387 | 4.235 |      |                |
| Work Study               | 1   |       | 4.206 |      |                |

<sup>\*</sup> p <.05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

<sup>--</sup> indicates results redacted for confidentiality

**Table 7. Teamwork Item Mean Comparisons by Personnel Classification** 

|                          | N   | LC 2020 |       |      |                |
|--------------------------|-----|---------|-------|------|----------------|
| Personnel Classification | N   | Mean    | Mean  | Sig. | Effect<br>size |
| Overall                  | 138 | 4.156   | 4.116 |      |                |
| Administrator            | 6   |         | 4.452 |      |                |
| Full time Staff          | 51  | 4.229   | 4.027 |      |                |
| Full time Faculty        | 41  | 4.142   | 4.199 |      |                |
| Part time Staff          | 10  | 4.150   | 4.110 |      |                |
| Adjunct/CE Faculty       | 16  | 4.146   | 4.235 |      |                |
| Work Study               | 1   |         | 4.250 |      |                |

<sup>\*</sup> p <.05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

<sup>--</sup> indicates results redacted for confidentiality

**Table 8. Overall Item Mean Comparisons by Personnel Classification** 

|                          | NLC |       | NLC 202 |      |                |
|--------------------------|-----|-------|---------|------|----------------|
| Personnel Classification | N   | Mean  | Mean    | Sig. | Effect<br>size |
| Overall                  | 138 | 4.028 | 4.013   |      |                |
| Administrator            | 6   |       | 4.232   |      |                |
| Full time Staff          | 51  | 4.077 | 3.947   |      |                |
| Full time Faculty        | 41  | 3.930 | 4.020   |      |                |
| Part time Staff          | 10  | 4.006 | 4.088   |      |                |
| Adjunct/CE Faculty       | 16  | 4.271 | 4.245   |      |                |
| Work Study               | 1   |       | 4.186   |      |                |

<sup>\*</sup> p <.05, \*\* p < .01, \*\*\* p < .001

<sup>--</sup> indicates results redacted for confidentiality